

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES ONEAL BELVINS, JR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

PLACER COUNTY DISTRICT
ATTORNEY OFFICE, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:24-cv-02077-DJC-EFB (PC)

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff, a county jail inmate, proceeds without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

On February 20, 2025, the court screened plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. ECF No. 6. The court dismissed the complaint for failure to state a claim and granted plaintiff thirty days in which to file an amended complaint to cure the deficiencies. *Id.* The screening order warned plaintiff that failure to comply could result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of a current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of

1 the party is fully effective.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
3 prejudice for failure to state a claim.

4 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
5 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days
6 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
7 with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings
8 and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified
9 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153
10 (9th Cir. 1991).

11
12 Dated: May 19, 2025


EDMUND F. BRENNAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE